

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/712,439	11/13/2003	J. Conrad Vogel	5658-979	8715
757	7590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
P.O. BOX 10 CHICAGO,		•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	3724	
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/07/2004	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			n			
Office Action Summary	10/712,439 Examiner	VOGEL, J. CONRAI				
•						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724	2000			
Period for Reply		on coponacino addi				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this comi  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	— s action is non-final.					
,-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evominar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	, ,	1 121/d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , , ,		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	a in this National St	age			
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ' ''	ad				
oce the attached detailed Office action for a list	. of the defined copies not receive	· <b>u.</b>				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		52)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/20/04 and9/21/04</u> .	6) Other:	., ., .,	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/712,439 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-9, 13-21 and 30-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sorensen et al. (5,197,194).
  - Regarding claims 1, 4, 14,15,17-19, 21 and 33-38, Sorensen et al. discloses the invention including first and second cooperating members 12 and 14, a jaw section 20 and 22, a handle 16 and 18, first and second blades comprising cutting edges 58 and 62; and third and forth edges (see Fig.2).
  - Regarding claim 2, Sorensen et al. teaches pockets 32. Regarding claim 3, Sorensen et al. teaches flanges 36.
  - Regarding claim 5, Sorensen et al. teaches a tang 56.
  - Regarding claims 8-9, Sorensen et al. teaches tang apertures 46 and 74.
  - Regarding claim 13, Sorensen et al. teaches the jaw sections are set at angle to the handle sections (see Fig. 5).
  - Regarding claims 16 and 20, Sorensen et al. teaches a bolt 25, a hexagonal nut 26 and an aperture (see Fig.2).
  - Regarding claims 30 and 32, Sorensen et al. teaches removable blades comprising two

Application/Control Number: 10/712,439

Art Unit: 3724

edges 160' and 162' counterposed.

- Regarding claim 31, Sorensen et al. teaches a manually actuated tool (see Fig. 1).
- 3. Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sorensen et al. (5,197,194).

Sorensen et al. discloses the method of modifying a cutting tool comprising the step of: providing a pivotal member with first and second cooperating members 12 and 14; separating the cooperating members (see Fig. 1-2A); rotating the first blade 180 degrees (col.7, lines 45-46); and reconnecting the cooperating members (see Fig. 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorensen et al. in view of Huang (6,598,300 B2).

Sorensen et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for an outward-facing hexagonal recess. However, Huang teaches the use of an outward-facing hexagonal recess for the purpose of preventing the pivot and the nut from becoming loosened. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Sorensen's tang by providing the outward-facing hexagonal recess as taught by

Art Unit: 3724

Huang in order to prevents the pivot and the nut from becoming loosened.

6. Claims 10-12, 22-24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorensen et al. in view of Sakuma et al. (5,086,563).

- Regarding claim 11, Sorensen et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed including hand-operated tool.
- Regarding claims 12 and 25, Sorensen et al. teaches at least two finger loops (see Fig. 3A, the loops formed between the lines 60 and 68; and the lines 64 and 66).
- Regarding claims 23-24, Sorensen et al. teaches tang apertures 46 and 74.
- Regarding claims 10 and 22, Sorensen et al. does not show a tab. However, Sakuma et al. teaches the use of a tab 17 for the purpose of preventing the tang from slipping out in a direction transverse to the longitudinal direction of the groove. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Sorensen's tang by providing the tab as taught by Sakuma et al. in order to prevents the tang from slipping out in a direction transverse to the longitudinal direction of the groove.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,439 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs April 4, 2005

> Alfan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700